## **EXHIBIT AC**

Case 2:22-cv-00375-SRB Docu	iment 232-29	Filed 02/26/25 Page 2 of 6[1] (Pages 1 to 4)
	[Page 1]	[Page 3]
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	1	E X H I B I T S EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION PAGE
JESSICA KAHRAMAN, et al., )	2	12 E-mail; 140
) Plaintiffs,	3	Bates No. KAHRAMAN-AZ 008053 CONFIDENTIAL
)	4	CONTIDENTIAL
vs. ´) No. ) CV-22-00375-PHX-SRB )	5	13 Series of e-mails; 153 Bates Nos. KAHRAMAN-AZ 005648 - 5649 CONFIDENTIAL
THE STATE OF ARIZÓNA, ) et al., )	6	
Defendants.	7	14 Series of e-mails; 157 Bates Nos. KAHRAMAN-AZ 005684 - 5685 CONFIDENTIAL
)	8	15 Declaration of Eli H. Newberger, M.D.; 172
	9	Bates Nos. KAHRAMAN 000091 - 177
VIDEORECORDED DEPOSITION OF MADISON BELL	10	16 Meal spreadsheet 182
VOLUME I	12	
Phoenix, Arizona	13	* * *
August 6, 2024 10:20 a.m.	14	MARKED PORTIONS OF THE RECORD PAGE LINE
	15	131 23
	16 17	196 10
Prepared by: CARRIE REPORTING, LLC	18	
MICHAELA H. DAVIS Certified Reporters Registered Professional Reporter 17505 N. 79th Avenue	19   20	
Certified Realtime Reporter Suite 301-C Certified Realtime Captioner Glendale, AZ 85308	21	
AZ CR No. 50574 (480) 429-7573	22 23	
NM CCR No. 614	24 25	
(COPY)	[Page 2]	[Page 4]
1 INDEX	1	VIDEORECORDED DEPOSITION OF MADISON BELL
WITNESS PAGE 2 MADISON BELL	2	commenced at 10:20 a.m. on August 6, 2024 at the law
BY MR. CONNELLY 6	3 4	offices of GILLESPIE, SHIELDS, & TAYLOR, 7319 NORTH 16TH STREET, PHOENIX, ARIZONA, before MICHAELA HERMAN DAVIS, a
4 ***	5	Certified Reporter, in and for the County of Maricopa,
5 6 EXHIBITS	6 7	State of Arizona.  * * *
EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION PAGE 7	8	APPEARANCES
4 Weight chart 62 8	9 10	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MILLS + WOODS LAW PLLC
4-A Medical record 232		BY: MR. THOMAS A. CONNELLY
5 E-mail; 66 10 Bates No. KAHRAMAN-AZ 008469	11	5055 NORTH 12TH STREET SUITE 101
CONFIDENTIAL 11	12	PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014
6 E-mail; 72 12 Bates No. KAHRAMAN-AZ 006006	13	tconnelly@millsandwoods.com
CONFIDENTIAL 13		GILLESPIE, SHIELDS & TAYLOR
7 Series of e-mails; 77 14 Bates Nos. KAHRAMAN-AZ 006247 - 6248	14	BY: MS. NATALIE NEWELL 7319 NORTH 16TH STREET
CONFIDENTIAL 15	15	PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85020
8 Series of e-mails; 80 16 Bates Nos. KAHRAMAN-AZ 008053 - 8054	16	nnewell@gillaw.com
CONFIDENTIAL 17	17	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
9 Series of e-mails; 90 18 Bates Nos. KAHRAMAN-AZ 005216 - 5217	18	BRUECKNER SPITLER SHELTS, PLC BY: MR. LARRY J. CROWN
CONFIDENTIAL 19	19	8355 EAST HARTFORD DRIVE
10 Series of e-mails; 92 20 Bates Nos. KAHRAMAN-AZ 005380 - 5384 CONFIDENTIAL	20	SUITE 200 SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85255 solutions@bss.law
21 11 Draft report of Dr. Michael Kelly; 118	21	วบเนนบาเว <u>เ</u> ขมววาเฉพ
22 Bates Nos. KAHRAMAN-AZ 014926 - 14951 CONFIDENTIAL	22 23	ALSO PRESENT:
23		BRIAN DOWNEY, VIDEOGRAPHER
24 (Continued.)	24	JESSICA KAHRAMAN

Case 2:22-cv-00375-SRB Page 3 1 (Pages 73 to 76) [Page 75] [Page 73] BY MR. CONNELLY: intervention in cases that were Munchausen cases; right? 1 2 2 No. They did a variety of therapeutic, but they Q. I'm just going to mark it as Exhibit 6 so it's 3 3 were the only ones who did the Munchausen cases. in the record. 4 Q. So if it was a Munchausen or a factitious 4 Do you recognize the e-mail and recall having received it? 5 5 disorder case, Southwest Human Development is going to do 6 6 the therapeutic visitations? A. I mean, I don't remember receiving it, but it 7 7 would be in align with what I would get, so ... A. Correct. 8 8 Q. But at this time you already knew it was a Q. And Dr. Kelly is going to do the records review; 9 9 medical neglect case because you had talked to Sarah right? Kramer about the case; right? 10 A. It would be Dr. Kelly or Dr. Bursch. 10 11 And those were the only two providers at the 11 A. Correct. Q. 12 Did you have a conversation with Mecca Temple 12 time that had contracts with the department to do records 13 about the case at the time of January 2019 when it was 13 review and Munchausen cases? 14 14 assigned to you? MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 15 15 A. I don't recall an exact conversation, but we foundation. 16 THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, yes. 16 always discuss every new case that we get. But I don't 17 recall the exact conversation. 17 BY MR. CONNELLY: 18 18 Q. And you said earlier that there's a special Q. And how do you spell Dr. Bursch? 19 A. B-U-R-S-C-H, I think. 19 protocol that's followed in medical neglect cases; right? 20 And is it a he or she? 20 A. There's a special protocol for the Munchausen 21 21 A. She. cases you're asking me about, but that's -- I don't know 22 22 if there's a specific protocol for medical neglect. I Q. And where is she located? 23 23 don't think there's a specific protocol made exactly for All I know is she's out of California. I 24 it. 24 haven't worked with her, but I know she's out of 25 25 California. Q. What's the protocol for Munchausen cases? [Page 74] [Page 76] 1 So for Munchausen cases, they automatically do So both Dr. Kelly and Dr. Bursch are in 1 2 2 the therapeutic visitation through Southwest Human California? 3 Development. They handle all of that, and then we do --3 A. Correct. 4 contract with a provider to do a medical records review. Q. Do you know why the department doesn't have any 5 Q. Okay. And is that -- are those the only two 5 in state providers for that service? 6 things procedurally that are specific to Munchausen? 6 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 7 Correct. Yes. 7 foundation. 8 Q. Okay. And so for Munchausen cases, therapeutic 8 THE WITNESS: I do not know. Oh, sorry. 9 visitation is always with Southwest Human Development? 9 BY MR. CONNELLY: 10 Α. Correct. 10 Q. As the ongoing case manager, do you have 11 And there's always a psychiatric consultation; discretion on which of those providers you use? Q. 11 12 12 right? A. I don't. I know with the first case I worked, 13 A. The medical records review? 13 they had attempted to get Dr. Bursch to do that, but she 14 Yes. Q. 14 was at capacity and she actually recommended Dr. Kelly. 15 Yes. And I want to clarify that Southwest Human 15 So I don't know -- all I know is that with the first one, 16 Development is the one that did it at the time. I believe 16 it went with Dr. Kelly, and the second one, service 17 there's another agency now that does them as well. 17 referral said to work with Dr. Kelly. So I don't know how 18 they decide if it's Dr. Kelly or Dr. Bursch now, but

19

20

21

25

18 Q. In addition to Southwest Human Development or in 19 place of Southwest? 20 I'm not sure, because I know that change 21 happened after I left, but I know that there's another 22 agency that does it. So I just -- that's how it was then.

I can't speak to what agency is really doing them now.

23 24 And it's the case, isn't it, that Southwest

Human Development only worked on cases giving therapeutic

Q. And do Dr. Kelly and Dr. Bursch do medical reviews for other types of cases besides Munchausen?

that's just the directive we got.

22 A. I don't know specifically for Dr. Bursch because

23 I never worked with her. But for Dr. Kelly, he did do the 24 records review here for the medical neglect.

Didn't he do the medical record review here

[Page 221] Kenan receiving his Levothyroxine until she consulted with paragraph is that mother consented to the medication once 1 2 2 Dr. Miga somehow amounted to a pattern of withholding Dr. Miga answered her question. 3 necessary medication. Rather, Dr. Kelly appears to 3 Do you remember seeing that in the Banner 4 propound the DCS preconceptions and bias against mother. 4 records? 5 This is a profound lapse of professional objectivity." 5 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 6 Do you see that, where he says that? 6 foundation. 7 7 A. Yes. THE WITNESS: Not off the top of my head. 8 Q. And do you take issue with Dr. Newberger's 8 BY MR. CONNELLY: 9 comment that mother's request to pause the medication 9 Q. We'll pick up with different parts of 10 until she spoke with Dr. Miga about it is not evidence of 10 Dr. Newberger's report later, so if you want to have some 11 a pattern of withholding necessary medication? 11 weekend reading. 12 12 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and Now, it's fair to say, isn't it, that during 13 foundation. 13 the time that you were the case manager assigned to this 14 14 THE WITNESS: I can't really say if there case, that you drew conclusions about mother based on your isn't a pattern because there's only one referenced 15 15 interactions with her? 16 incident here. And from my recollection of the Banner 16 Correct. A. 17 records, that there was more than one incident, so I would 17 Q. And do you know what confirmation bias is? Did 18 you ever have any training on confirmation bias? 18 have to review back to the records to see if there is a 19 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 19 pattern. 20 foundation. 20 BY MR. CONNELLY: 21 21 THE WITNESS: I know I had training on it, Q. So it's your belief that there were a number of 22 instances in which mother refused medications? 22 but it's been a while, and I couldn't tell you a 23 23 A. Correct. definition. 24 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 24 BY MR. CONNELLY: 25 25 Okay. So it would be fair to say that since you foundation. [Page 222] [Page 224] BY MR. CONNELLY: really don't know what confirmation bias is, you don't 1 1 2 2 Q. But you don't remember what the medications were know how to recognize it in yourself and prevent yourself 3 3 or when those refusals occurred; right? from falling prey to confirmation bias; is that fair? 4 4 A. I know it was during the hospitalization in A. I mean I could -- I could tell you that really, 5 December 2018, but I don't remember the name of the my understanding is just really, you know, kind of 6 following along with others and just going along with 6 medication. 7 7 Q. And so you're saying if we scour through the that. But I can't give you like a formal, like, 8 Banner records, we'll see a pattern of mother refusing 8 definition. Like I'm not -- I couldn't give that to you. 9 9 medications; right? O. Generally it means having a preconceived notion 10 A. That's what I recall from the Banner records. 10 and then searching out or recognizing only information 11 Q. Do you think that Dr. Newberger missed those 11 that confirms that preconceived notion. 12 12 multiple refusals in the Banner records when he was 13 preparing his report? 13 Q. And you had a preconceived notion about mother 14 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 14 in this case, that she was a Munchausen parent, didn't 15 15 foundation. you? 16 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 17 BY MR. CONNELLY: 17 foundation. 18 Q. Do you think that if Dr. Newberger identified a 18 THE WITNESS: No. 19 19 pattern in the Banner records, that he would have made his BY MR. CONNELLY: 20 criticism about Dr. Kelly? 20 Q. You had a preconceived notion that she 21 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 21 intentionally restricted the diets of her children and 22 22 foundation. then failed to seek medical care knowing that medical care 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 23 was necessary; right? 24 24 BY MR. CONNELLY: MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 25 The other thing that Dr. Newberger notes in this 25 foundation.

BY MR. CONNELLY: A. Correct. 1 1 2 Q. C-reactive protein and white blood cells normal, 2 Q. And we don't have -- if you look through your 3 and the notation is that that's an indication of no muscle 3 DCS notes, we don't have any of these doctors making a report to the hotline call; right? 4 wasting. 5 Do you see that? 5 A. I mean --6 A. Yes. 6 You're unwilling to go there right now because 7 Q. So, okay. This takes us through November 2nd of 7 you don't have --2018. So just a little bit more over a month from when 8 A. Yeah, I don't -- I don't recall, so I can't 9 Kenan then ends up in Banner in 2018; right? 9 guarantee it. 10 A. Correct. 10 MR. CONNELLY: Okay. All right. That's all 11 Q. So we've seen that during the two years that the I have for today. We'll pick it up on the 12th or the 11 12 boys were on the GAPS diet -- and we haven't seen Dylan 12 16th. 13 yet, so just for Kenan -- we've seen that during the 13 MR. CROWN: All right. Let the record 14 14 two years he was on the GAPS diet, when he was seen by reflect that this deposition is commenced and continued by doctors at well checks and for other reasons during those 15 agreement of the parties. 15 two years, he's consistently overall gaining weight, 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's 16 17 right, including up to 83.8 pounds in November, but he's 17 deposition of Madison Bell. We're off the record at consistent -- I think they probably meant to say 18 18 6:10 p.m. 19 19 38 pounds. (WHEREUPON, this deposition adjourned at 20 20 A. Uh-huh. 6:10 p.m.) 21 21 Q. Or that's what I think, but it's not what the 22 22 record says. 23 23 MADISON BELL So -- but do you agree with me that during 24 the two years preceding the admission to Banner in 24 25 25 December of 2018, while he's on the GAPS diet, that Kenan [Page 250] 1 is gaining weight? 2 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 3 foundation. 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, he is. 5 BY MR. CONNELLY: Q. And all of the examinations, the objective 6 7 observations of the medical professional who's examining 8 him at these visits described him as being well nourished 9 and hydrated or -- well nourished. 10 All of the heart examinations are normal; 11 right? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. We didn't see anything that would indicate --14 let me ask it this way. 15 Was there anything that we saw that would 16 indicate to a parent that there was some imminent problem? 17 MR. CROWN: Objection to form and 18 foundation. 19 THE WITNESS: Not by what's in the notes. I 20 don't know if anything was said differently during the 21 actual appointments, but not from the notes. 22 BY MR. CONNELLY: 23 Q. Okay. And we don't see any doctor in here saying take the kid to the hospital because he's 24

25

malnourished; right?

Case 2:22-cv-00375-SRB Document 232-29 Filed 02/26/25 [Page 252] 1 STATE OF ARIZONA ) ) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) 2 3 4 BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me, MICHAELA HERMAN DAVIS, a Certified Reporter, 5 in and for the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona; that the witness before testifying was duly sworn to testify to the whole truth; that the questions propounded to the 6 witness and the answers of the witness thereto were taken 7 down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 8 are a true and correct transcript of all proceedings had, all done to the best of my skill and ability. 9 I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in the 10 outcome hereof. 11 [X] Review and signature was requested. 12 [ ] Review and signature was not requested. [ ] Review and signature was waived. 13 14 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the ethical obligations set forth in ACJA 7-206(F)(3) and 15 ACJA 7-206(J)(1)(g)(1) and (2). Dated at Phoenix, Arizona, this 27th day of August, 2024. 16 17 Michaela H. Davis, RPR, CRR, CRC 18 Arizona CR No. 50574 19 New Mexico CCR No. 614 20 21 I CERTIFY that Carrie Reporting, LLC, has complied with the ethical obligations set forth in 22 ACJA 7-206. Dated at Phoenix, Arizona, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of , 2024. 23 24 Carrie Reporting, LLC 25 Arizona RRF No. R1064